

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/675,287	09/30/2003	Jeyhan Karaoguz	14794US02	5434	
Christopher C	7590 08/22/200 Winslade	EXAM	EXAMINER		
McAndrews Held & Malloy Ltd			RYAN, PATRICK A		
500 Wes Madi 34th Floor	son St	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Chicago, IL 60	0661	2623			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/22/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/675,287	KARAOGUZ ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
PATRICK A. RYAN	2623	

	PATRICK A. RYAN	2623	l				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 04 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
\textstyle \texts	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi pal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed date of the final rejection.	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or within one of the follow	which places the r (3) a Request wing time				
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of thes set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earmed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4.137 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS 3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	t prior to the data of filing a brief						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (b) They are not deemed to place the application in better (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (d) They are not deemed to place the application in better (d) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) The place the application in better (f) The place the application in better (f) The place the application in the application in better (f) The place the application in the ap	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	ΓE below);					
appeal; and/or	ion form for appear by materially roo	adding or onlipinging to	10 100000 101				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e	cplanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. \(\bigcirc \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: \(\section \) See Continuation Sheet.							
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:							
/Scott Beliveau/	/P. A. R./						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2623	Examiner, Art Unit 2623						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

MISCELLANEOUS NOTE: APPLICANT IS ADVISED THAT THE EXAMINER OF RECORD FOR THIS APPLICATION HAS CHANGED.

Continuation of 11. Applicants Reply to Final Office Action of June 2, 2008 ("Reply") does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant presents that Novak (2002/0104099 A1) does not teach "organizing, at said first location, said located media and at least a portion of broadcast media and/or transferred media into channels", because "none of the data that is uploaded by the upload source 122 to the server or web site 124 includes any broadcast programming" and "such broadcast media is provided by the cable service provider over the cable network 134" (With reference to Reply Pages 11-12 and Novak Figs.1.4; paragraphs 0010, 0026, 0039, 0041, 0056, & 0057, as cited in Final Office Action Page 33). The Examiner respectfully disagrees.

The Examiner submits Applicant's Claim 1 requires that "broadcast media and/or transferred media" is provided for organization at the first location. Therefore, one of broadcast or transferred media is sufficient to meet the claimed limitation. Novak clearly teaches that transferred "personal media" is organized at the upload source 122 into a "synthetic channel" (Novak Fig. 4 Step 404, "create a schedule of programming" as described in Paragraph [0057]; with further reference to Interface 702 of Fig. 7, as described in Paragraph [0063]). Therefore the Examiner uploals the rejection applied to the cited portion of Claim 1 above.

Applicant also presents that Novak does not teach "transparently transferring from said first location, at least a portion of said organized channels to at least a second location within the communication network because "at step 40%, a token or electronic file is sent to the end user to subscribe the end user's terminal (set top box 152) to the synthetic channel" (With reference to Repty Pages 12-13 and Novak Figs. 1.24, 11; paragraphs 0041, 0058, 0059, 0065, 8 0086, as cited in Final Office Action Page 3). Applicant Novak Paragraph [0058] "discloses that the individual (who uploads the media to server or web site 124) emails the token or other electronic file to the end user" and "Obviously, the user will be aware of such emailed token" (Repty Page 13). The Examiner respectfully disagrees.

The Examiner submits that Novak's token "may trigger an application (or the token itself can be an application) that causes the EPG 153 and/or the set top box to add the synthetic channel to the program listings" (Paragraph (10058)). Novak further teaches, regarding a token, that an application, such as a Java applet, is automatically downloaded and triggers an update of EPG 153 (as described in Paragraph (10080)). Therefore, the Examiner upholds the rejection applied to the cited portion of Claim' at above.

PAR